Study No. 179

Adoption of Recommended Doses of Fertilizers on Soil Test Basis by Farmers



AGRO-ECONOMIC RESEARCH CENTRE

VISVA-BHARATI

SANTINIKETAN

2015

Study Team

Vivekananda Datta Dipak Kumar Mondal Soumen Ghosh Rishav Mukherjee Kali Sankar Chattopadhyay Saumya Chakrabarti

Preface

The present study was undertaken at the instance of Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi as a coordinated study, the act of coordination being vested upon ADRTC, Institute for Social and Economic Change, Bangalore.

Based on the primary data collected from the state of West Bengal the present study evaluated the soil test programme and the pattern and determinants of participation of the farmers. It also examined the level of adoption and its constraints in the application of recommended doses of fertilizers based on soil test reports by the farmers. Finally, it analyzed the probable impact of adoption of recommended doses of fertilizers on crop productivity and income of farmers. This study has come out with interesting findings that soil testing and adoption of recommended doses of fertilizers among paddy and jute farmers have significantly raised both the production and productivity, but numbers of such farmers are found negligible. This study has identified some factors for the decline in participation by the farmers including non-availability of report card after testing, poor extension facilities, distant location of soil testing laboratories etc. At the same time the study has noted that the small number of soil test farmers who adopted the recommended doses of fertilizers could enhance their production and productivity and were significantly capable of diminishing the costs of other factors of production. It implies that if the farmers are pursued with technical efficacy of soil testing with appropriate administrative and extension services to them and the application of appropriate doses of fertilizers; agricultural sector could get rid of initial inertia and be transformed into self sufficient food economy needed for sustainable development. I sincerely feel that this study marks a contribution to knowledge and discourse.

The study team associated with the study consisted of Mr. Vivekananda Datta,Mr. Dipak Kumar Mondal, Mr. Soumen Ghosh, Mr. Rishav Mukherjee, Mr. Kali Sankar Chattopadhyay and Dr. Saumya Chakrabarty. All of them shouldered the responsibility of field investigation, computer digitisation of data, analysis of data, drafting and typing of the report. The secretarial assistance was received from Sarbosree M. A. Khaleque, N. Maji, D. Mondal, D. S. Das and A. R. Patra.

On behalf of the centre, the undersigned takes the opportunity to thanks the officials of the Government of West Bengal for their kind help and cooperation in carrying out the study. I also take this opportunity to thank the sample respondents in the study area

of the state of West Bengal for giving their valuable time at the stage of collecting primary data. Finally, I am especially thankful to Parmod Kumar, Professor and Head, ADRTC, Institute for Social and Economic Change, Bangalore for his excellent co-ordination in conducting the study.

A.E.R.Centre, Visva-Bharati Santiniketan June, 2015. (Prof. Sudipta Bhattacharya) Ex-Officio Director

CONTENTS		
Preface i - ii		
List of Ta	bles	v – vi
List of Figures vii		
Executive	Summary	X - XX
Chapter		Page No
Ι	Introduction	1-13
	1.1 Background	1-6
	1.2 Review of Literature	6-10
	1.3 Need for Study	10-11
	1.4 Objective of the study	11-11
	1.5 Data and Methodology	11-12
	1.6 Organization of the report	12-13
II	Trend in Fertilizer Consumption in the State	14-28
	2.1 Background	14-14
	2.2 Trend in aggregate fertilizer consumption in the state by nutrients	14-17
	2.3 Trend in per hectare fertiliser consumption in the state by nutrients	17-21
	2.4 Trend in fertilizer consumption in the state by products	21-21
	2.5 Trend in Fertilizer consumption in the state by Products (kg/hect):	21-27
	2.6 Summary of the Chapter	27-28
III	Socio Economic Characteristics of Sample Households	29-52
	3.1 Introduction	29-29
	3.2. Distribution of sample households by farm size category	29-30
	3.2. Socio economic characteristics of the sample households	31-31
	3.3.a.Socio economic characteristics for Paddy	31-32
	3.3.b. Socio economic characteristics for Jute	33-34
	3.4. Details of operational land holdings:	34-37
	3.4.a.Operational land holdings for Paddy	34-35
	3.4.b. operational land holdings for Jute farmers	35-36
	3.4.C operational land holdings for Paddy & Jute farmers together	36-37
	3.5. Sources of irrigation:	37-38
	3.5.a Sources of irrigation for Paddy	37-37
	3.5.b Sources of irrigation for Jute	38-38
	3.6. Cropping Pattern, Area under HYV and Value of Output:	39-45
	3.7. Farm assets holdings	45-48
	3.7.a. Farm asset holdings for Paddy farmers	45-46
	5.7.0. Farm assets notaings for Jute Tarmers	40-48
	3.8. Details of Agricultural Credit Availed:	48-49
	3.8.a Details of Agricultural Credit Availed by the Paddy Farmers	48-48
	3.8.b Details of Agricultural Credit Availed by the Jute Farmers	49-49

	3.9 Summary of the chapter	51-52
IV	Details of Soil Testing and Recommended Doses of Fertilisers	53-68
	4.1 Background	53-53
	4.2 Details of soil testing	53-61
	4.3 Source of information about soil testing by soil test farmers	61-63
	4.4. Reasons for soil testing by soil test farmers	63-64
	4.5. Reasons for Not Testing Soil by Control Farmers	64-65
	4.6 Status of Soil Health for the Sample Soil Test Farms	65-66
	4.7. Recommended Doses of Fertilisers on Soil Test Basis	67-67
	4.8. Summary of the Chapter	68-68
V	Adoption of Recommended Doses of Fertilisers and its Constraints	69-102
	5.1 Background	69-69
	5.2 Application of Recommended Doses of Fertilizers by Soil Test Farmers	69-72
	5.2. a Application of Recommended Doses of Fertilizers in Paddy	69-71
	5.2. b Application of Recommended Doses of Fertilizers in Jute	71-71
	5.2.c Application of Recommended Doses of Fertilizers in Paddy & Jute	71-72
	5.3 Constraints in Applying Recommended Doses of Fertilisers by Soil Test Farmers	72-73
	5.4 Sources of Information about Recommended Doses of Fertilizers by Control Farmers	73-74
	5.5 Application of Actual Quantity of Fertilisers by Sample Households	75-88
	5.6 Method of Application of Chemical Fertilizers by Sample Farmers	88-89
	5.7 Use of Organic Fertilisers by the Sample Households	90-94
	5.8 Details of Fertilisers Purchased by the Sample Households	94-99
-	5.8.a Sources of purchase of fertilizers	94-98
	5.8.b Price and transportation cost of fertilizers	98-99
	5.9 Attended of Training Programmes	99-100
	5.10 Summary of Chapter	100-
		102
VI	Impact of Adoption of Recommended Doses of Fertilizers	103-
		109
	6.1 Background	103-
		103
	6.2 Productivity & Value of Output of Reference Crops among the	103-
	Sample Households	106
	6.3 Impact of Application of Recommended Doses of Fertilizers on	106-
	Reference Crops	108
	6.4 Summary of the Chapter:	108- 109
VII	Summary and Conclusions	110-
		121
	7.1 Background	110-
		111
	7.2Need for the study	111-
		112

7.3 Objectives of the Study	112-
	112
7.4 Summary and findings	112-
	118
7.5 Conclusions	118-
	120
7.6 Policy Recommendations	120-
	121
References	122-
	123
Annexure - I	125-
	126
Annexure - II	127-
	127

LIST OF TABLES		
Table No.	Title	Page No.
Chapter-II		0
2.1	Fertilizer Consumption in West Bengal by Nutrients (Quantity in	15
	tones)	
2.2	Fertilizer Consumption in West Bengal al by Nutrients (Kg/Hect.)	18
	of GCA	
2.3	Fertilizer Consumption in West Bengal by Products (Quantity in	21
	tones)	
2.4	Fertilizer Consumption in West Bengal al by Products (Kg/Hect.) of	22
	GCA	
Chapter-III		•
3.1	Distribution of Sample Households by Farm Size Category (% of	29
	households)	21
3.2	Socio-economic Characteristics of Sample Households –Paddy	31
3.3	Socio-economic Characteristics of Sample Households – Jute	33
3.4	Operational Landholding of the Sample Households	34
2.5	(acre/household)- Paddy	25
3.5	Operational Landholding of the Sample Households	35
2.6	(acres/nousenoid) – Jule	27
3.0	Sources of Irrigation (% of net irrigated area). Jute	37
3.7	Cropping Dettern of the Sample Households (W of CCA) Daddy	20
3.0	Cropping Pattern of the Sample Households (% of GCA) - Faudy	40
3.9	Area under HVV of Major Crops (% of grouped group)	40
3.10	Aggregate Value of Crop Output Baddy	41
3.11	Aggregate Value of Crop Output- Faulty	42
3.12	Distribution of Form Assots – Poddy Formors	45
3.13	Distribution of Form Assets – Faddy Falmers	43
3.14	Agricultural Credit Outstanding by the Sample Households	47
5.15	(Rs/household)- Paddy	40
3.16	Agricultural Credit Outstanding by the Sample Households	/10
5.10	(Rs/household)- Jute	т <i>)</i>
3 17	Purpose of Agricultural Loan Availed (by the farmers) - Paddy	50
3.18	Purpose of Agricultural Loan Availed (by the farmers) - Jute	50
Chapter-IV	Taipose of righteatailai Loan rithanea (og the farmers) vale	
4.1	Distribution of Sample Soil Test Farmers: Paddy	54
4.2	Distribution of Sample Soil Test Farmers: Jute	58
4.3	Sources of Information about Soil Testing by Sample Households	62
	(% of farmers)- Soil Test Farmers	
4.4	Reasons for Soil Testing by Sample Households (% of farmers)-	63
	Soil Test Farmers	
4.5	Reasons for Not Testing Soil during the Last Three Years (% of	64
	Farmers)-Control Farmers	
4.6	Status of Soil Health in terms of Nutrients on the Sample Soil Test	65
	Farms	
4.7	Average Quantity of Recommended Dose of Fertilisers Given	67
	Based on Soil Test	

4.8	Average Quantity of Split Doses of Fertilizers Recommended by	67
	Stage of Crop Growth (Kg/acre)- Soil Test Farmers	
Chapter –V		
5.1	Application of Recommended Doses of Fertilizers on Reference	70
	Crops- Soil Test Farmers	
5.2	Constraints in Applying Recommended Doses of Fertilizers (% of	72
	non-applying farmers) - Soil Test Farmers	
5.3	Awareness and Sources of Information about Recommended Doses	73
	of Fertilizers by Sample Households (% of farmers) - Control	
	Farmers	
5.4	Actual Quantity of Fertilizers Applied by the Sample Farmers	75
	during the Reference Year (Kg/acre)- Paddy	
5.5	Actual Quantity of Fertilizers Applied by the Sample Farmers	85
	during the Reference Year (Kg/acre) - Jute	
5.6	Actual Quantity of Split Doses of Fertilizers Applied by Stage of	87
	Crop Growth during the Reference Year (Kg/acre) - Paddy	
5.7	Actual Quantity of Split Doses of Fertilizers Applied by Stage of	88
	Crop Growth during the Reference Year (Kg/acre)- Jute	
5.8	Method of Application of Chemical Fertilizers (% of farmers)-	89
	Paddy	
5.9	Method of Application of Chemical Fertilizers (% of farmers)- Jute	89
5.10	Use of Organic Fertilizers by the Sample Farmers- Paddy	90
5.11	Use of Organic Fertilizers by the Sample Farmers- Jute	92
5.12	Sources of Purchase of Fertilizers (% of farmers)	95
5.13	Quantity of Fertilizer Purchased by the Sample Farmers (Per cent)	96
5.14	Average Price of Fertilizers and Transport Cost Incurred (Rs/kg)	98
5.15	Training Programmes Attended on Application of Chemical	99
	Fertilizers by the Sample Farmers	
Chapter-VI		
6.1	Productivity of the Sample Crops during the Reference Year	103
6.2	Impact of Application of Recommended Doses of Fertilizers on	106
	Crop Yield- Soil Test Farmers	
6.3	Changes Observed after the Application of Recommended Doses of	108
	Fertilizers on Reference Crops (% of farmers)-Soil Test Farmers	

LIST OF FIGURES		
Table No.	Title	Page No.
Chapter- II		
2.1	Fertilizer Consumption in West Bengal by Nutrients	16
2.2	Fertilizer Consumption in West Bengal al by Nutrients (Kg/Hect.) of GCA	18-19
2.3	Fertilizer Consumption in West Bengal al by Products (Kg/Hect.) of	22-23
Chapter- III		
3.1	Comparison of Sample households by farm Size category- Paddy	30
3.2	Comparison of sample household by farm size category- Jute	30
3.3	Comparison between operational land holdings of Paddy & Jute farmers.	36
3.4	Proportion of Sources of Irrigation in Paddy soil test farmers	37
3.5	Proportion of Sources of Irrigation in Paddy control farmers	37
3.6	Proportion of Sources of Irrigation in Jute soil test farmers	38
3.7	Proportion of Sources of Irrigation in Jute control farmers	38
3.8	Comparison of Cropping Pattern across Paddy & Jute Farmers	44
Chapter- IV		
4.1	Percentage of farmers tested their soil in the last three years (Paddy)	55
4.2	Average cost of soil testing (Rs/sample) only for those who have spent some money for testing (Paddy)	56
4.3	Average distance from field to soil testing lab (kms) for Paddy	56
4.4	Average no. of plots considered for soil testing PER HH (Paddy)	57
4.5	Average area covered under soil test (acre) per HH (Paddy)	58
4.6	Percentage of farmers tested their soil in the last three years (Jute)	59
4.7	Average cost of soil testing (Rs/sample) only for those who have spent some money for testing (Jute)	60
4.8	Average no. of plots considered for soil testing PER HH (Jute)	60
4.9	Average area covered under soil test (acre) per HH (Jute)	61
4.10	Sources of Information about Soil Testing by Sample Households (% of farmers)- Soil Test Farmers (Paddy)	62
4.11	Sources of Information about Soil Testing by Sample Households (% of farmers) - Soil Test Farmers (Jute)	63
4.12	Status of Soil Health in terms of Nutrients on the Sample Soil Test Farms- Soil Test Farmers (% of farmers) (Paddy)	66
4.13	Status of Soil Health in terms of Nutrients on the Sample Soil Test Farms- Soil Test Farmers (% of farmers) (Jute)	66
Chapter- V		
5.1	Awareness about recommended doses of fertilizers of control farmers	74
5.2	Use of urea in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre)	75
5.3	Use of urea in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre) (Removing outliers)	76
5.4	Use of urea in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre) (Considering NOA	76
55	>10.00 acre & Removing outliers)	76
5.5	Use of urea in radius by somest farmers (Ng/acre) (Considering	/0

	NOA<=10.1 & not removing outliers)	
5.6	Use of DAP in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre)(Not removing outlier)	77
5.7	Use of DAP in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre) (Removing outlier)	77
5.8	Use of DAP in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre) (considering NOA <=	78
	10 acre)	
5.9	Use of DAP in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre) (Considering NOA <=	78
	10 acre & removing outliers)	
5.10	Use of MOP in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre)	79
5.11	Use of MOP in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre) (removing outliers)	79
5.12	Use of MOP in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre) (Considering NOA	79
	<=10.00 acre)	
5.13	Use of MOP in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre) (Considering NOA	80
	<=10.00 acre & removing outlier)	
5.14	5.14: Use of MOP in Paddy by soil test farmers (Kg/acre) (Considering	80
	NOA <=10.00 acre)	
5.15	Use of urea in paddy by control farmers.	81
5.16	Use of urea in paddy by control farmers. (Removing outliers)	81
5.17	Use of DAP in paddy by control farmers	81
5.18	Use of DAP in paddy by control farmers (Considering NOA <=10.00 acre	82
5.10	and removing outliers)	02
5.19	Use of DAP in paddy by control farmers (Considering NOA <=8.00 acre	82
5.20	and removing outliers)	00
5.20	Use of MOP in paddy by Control farmers	82
5.21	Use of MOP in paddy by Control farmers (Considering NOA ≤ 10.00 acre)	83
5.22	Use of MOP in paddy by Control farmers (Considering NOA ≤ 6.00 acre)	83
5.23	Use of MOP in paddy by Control farmers (Removing outliers)	83
5.24	use of MOP in paddy by Control farmers (Considering NOA <=0.00 acre	84
5.25	lice of fortilizer in Doddy	Q /
5.25	Use of complex fortilizer in Jute by the soil test formers (Demoving 172 fr	04
5.20	178)	83
5.27	Use of fertilizer in Jute by soil test farmers and control farmers.	86
5.28	Use of fertilizers of soil tested farmer in Paddy and Jute	86
5.29	Use of organic fertilizers in paddy by control and soil test farmers	91
5.30	Comparison of application of Organic Fertilizers between Paddy and Jute	93
	by the Soil test Farmers (Percentage of farmers)	
5.31	Comparison of application of Organic Fertilizers between Paddy and Jute	93
	by the Soil test Farmers (Kg/acre)	
5.32	Comparison of application of Organic Fertilizers between Paddy and Jute	94
5.00	by the Soil test Farmers (Area covered as percentage of NOA)	0.6
5.33	Sources of purchase fertilizers of soil test farmers and control farmers	96
5.34	Quantity of Fertilizer Purchased by the soil test Farmers (percent)	97
5.35	Quantity of Fertilizer Purchased by the soil control Farmers (percent)	97
5.36	Prices of tertilizers of soil test farmers and control farmers (Rs/kg)	98
Chapter-		
	Destructurity of an day (Constitution NOA of 1600	104
0.1	Productivity of paddy (Considering NOA ≤ 16.00 acre)	104
6.2	Productivity of Paddy (Considering NUA ≤ 6.00 acre)	104
6.3	Productivity of paddy (Considering NOA >10.00 acre)	104

6.4	Productivity of jute (Considering NOA <=6.00 acre)	105
6.5	Productivity of jute (Considering NOA <=10.00 acre)	105
6.6	Productivity of Paddy after and before application of recommended doses of fertilizers	107
6.7	Productivity of Jute after and before application of recommended doses of fertilizers	107

Executive Summary

1.1 Background:

Soil health condition plays a very important role in enhancing the quality of crop production as well as the productivity levels in agricultural sector. In order to cater to the growing population with huge population base a recurrent phenomenon of over use of land has in the long run created a negative impact on the very basis of agricultural sector. Such type of preponderant pressure on land has created to a significant extent an unbalanced situation for the soil nutrients further deteriorating health condition of soils. Moreover, in order to enhance agricultural yield – to remain relevant in the contemporary competitive environment–the farmers indiscriminately apply chemical fertilizers and such inappropriate doses of fertilizer application creates a serious impact on environment and sustainability of agricultural sector.

The present study deals with the coherent principles of sustainable development and explicitly divided into two parts: a) soil testing for determining the appropriate dose of fertilizer and other nutrient use; and b) adoption/application of recommended doses of fertilizers etc and its probable impacts. The first one i.e. soil testing deals with Soil Health which is very sensitive and directly related with crop production. Any negligence of Soil Health has serious repercussion on growth and upbringings of plants. Mentioned earlier, continuous use of agricultural land for feeding such an enormous population is inflicting a gradual nutrient mining and to our utter dissatisfaction, such losses are being compensated through over use of fertilizer. Curiously, these compensation/replenishment are being done through purely unscientific processes and in an indiscriminate way. Due to intensive method of cultivation, nutrient mining along with the gradual degradation of micronutrients over the periods has caused irreparable losses to Indian soils. According to a recent estimate of the Fertilizer Association of India (FAI-2014) every year almost 34 million tons of plant nutrient in the form of NPK is being exhausted and in exchange only 26 million tons of NPK are being replenished through application of fertilizer resulting into a deficiency of 8 million tons every year. Besides such a huge NPK deficiency, a careless attitude of the farmers towards the application of fertilizer reduces the percentage of secondary supplements and micronutrient to an abysmal level. The FAI indicates that 'as a result the deficiency of nutrients and micro nutrients in Indian soil reduces to the tune of 89%(N), 80%(P), 50%(K), 40%(Sulpher), 48%(Zinc), 33%(Boron) respectively' (ibid.).

The agricultural experts have recommended an appropriate NPK ratio for Indian soil conditions, which is 4:2:1. 'In 1991-92, the year immediately preceding the decontrol of phosphatic and potassic fertilizers, the NPK ratio was 5.9:2.4:1. Consequent on decontrol of phosphatic and potassic fertilizers, the NPK ratio were distorted to 9.68:2.94:1 in 1993-94. The same has considerably improved to 5.3:2.2:1 in 2005-06. The farmers have to be educated in the matter of nutrient balance as it has a great long-term significance for the Indian agricultural economy and policy measures on balanced use of fertilizers have to be initiated.

Considering the importance of soil health management, proper application of recommended doses of fertilizer along with use of bio-nutrient for enhancing and maintaining sustainability in agricultural sector is seriously being taken into consideration. Thus, in order to disseminate proper ideas Government of India has formulated numerous schemes and task force committees with the help of agricultural and environmental experts.

1.2 Need for the study

Due to a lack of awareness among the farmers, there are wide spread problems related to the indiscriminate use of chemical fertilizers, mismanagement of surface water and over exploitation of ground water and other resources. The over use of chemical fertilizers in most parts of India in the last few decades has led to several problems affecting soil health, nutrient flow and natural environment. There is a need for promoting, among others, balanced use of fertilizers for increasing productivity of crops and for better absorption of nutrients from the applied fertilizers. It is suggested that, farmers should go for regular soil testing and use recommended doses of fertilizers as advised by the agricultural scientists. There is no systematic study undertaken so far for evaluating the effectiveness of such a programme of soil testing for nutrient deficiency and consequent adoption of recommended doses of fertilizers by farmers based on these soil tests. The present study examines the performance of the soil-test programme, the level of adoption and constraints in the application of recommended doses of fertilizers, impact on crop productivity and relevant institutional problems.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the study are as follows:

To evaluate the soil-test programme and the pattern and determinants of participation/nonparticipation of the farmers in such a project.

To examine the level of adoption and its constraints in the application of recommended doses of fertilizers based on soil test reports by the farmers.

To analyze the probable impact of adoption of recommended doses of fertilizers on crop productivity and income of farmers.

1.4 Summary and findings

Generally, adoption of recommended technique in agricultural sector is to a significant extent influenced by the socio-economic factors existing in the rural India. Availability of educational facilities along with social taboos make the situation more complex, and hence, a brief discussion about the socio-economic characteristics and demographic composition of the sampled farmers is necessary to have a glimpse on these particular issues.

Here, in the present study, we find that the farm size category of marginal farmers overwhelmingly dominates the farming classes and it is found that, almost 55% of farmers in Paddy and almost 71% farmers in Jute belong to this category. Though a significant percentage of small farmers (30% in Paddy and 24.17% in Jute) is found in the study, the representative figure in the case of medium and large farmers is negligible and virtually no big farmers are found in jute cultivation due to less interest and non-availability of large farmers in the study area.

Interestingly, in case of paddy it is found that, all of the respondents are middle aged educated male having on an average long 23 years' background in farming sector and 58.33% of them are members of agriculture related association/s. Altogether 92% of the respondents categorically mentioned that agriculture is their primary livelihood; 70% of them belong to General caste category and altogether 14.44% of the respondents represent the OBC group of the social caste system. The picture in case of control farmers cultivating paddy is more or less the same, the only difference is that, 81.6% have identified agriculture as their main occupation and it is found, they have more agricultural experience (in terms of years of involvement) in comparison to soil test farmers, i.e. the treatment group.

In case of Jute, educational standard of the respondents in comparison to the respondents for paddy cultivation is low, though the figure is encouraging in the sense that almost all of them are literate. Among the soil test farmers, 93.33% of them have stated that agriculture is their primary occupation; percentage figure in the case of control farmers is 100%. The control farmers have more agricultural experience, though in terms of membership of any association they are slightly lacking behind their counterpart.

In case of paddy, operational land holding among soil test farmers is marginally higher than that of control farmers. The corresponding figures for both these categories of farmers in Paddy and Jute are 5.86 & 372 and 3.63 & 2.93 (acre/household) respectively. Cropping intensity in Paddy for the soil test farmers (172%) is also higher than that of the control farmers (159%); the corresponding figure for Jute is 184% and 169% respectively.

Needless to mention, cropping intensity to a great extent is influenced by the availability of irrigational facilities. In the present study bore well commands a formidable percentage of total irrigation availabilities. The overall percentages of land irrigated through this system in Paddy and Jute are 63.28 and 84.82 and availability of canal irrigation is very small, the corresponding figures for both the Crops are 28.64 and 0.36 percent respectively.

As far as cropping pattern is concerned, it has been observed that, cultivation of paddy more or less plays a dominant role for all the categories of farmers. Potato and oilseeds including mustard and vegetables are the other important crops grown in the study area. Cultivation of Paddy in Jute areas is visibly higher than Paddy regions. Significantly, adoptions of HYV seeds for all agricultural crops among all categories of farmers reveal a very encouraging picture. Almost all the farmers (both Soil test and Control) use HYV seeds for paddy (both Aman and Boro) cultivation. The Control farmers use more HYV seeds than the soil test farmers for Jute cultivation. It shows that the soil test farmers depend more on traditional variety than the control farmers. In case of Potato, mustard, wheat and vegetables cultivation farmers use HYV seeds though visibly the traditional varieties have significant presence in both Paddy and Jute regions.

Interestingly, the value of output per unit of cropped area (Rs/acre) among soil test farmers for Paddy decreases, with the increase of size of farming and a reverse pattern is found in the case of control farmers. In the case of jute, value of output increases with the increase of size of operational holding and it is true for both the soil test and control farmers. It signifies that in comparison to paddy growers the jute cultivators got better prices of their disposable commodities and have better market facilities in their respective regions. A comparison between the values of crop outputs for both of these two crops signify that the soil test farmers on an average get a better return than the control farmers. Definitely, it indicates that the soil test farmers are progressive in nature. The above fact justifies that, in the case of both paddy and jute, the soil test farmers have definitely an edge over the control farmers in holding and using agricultural machineries. It has been observed, both in terms of quantity and value, the soil test farmers spend more for mechanization in agriculture in order to get a positive return on their farm investments.

Mechanization in agriculture mostly depends upon the economic viability among farmers and such economic endeavors are greatly influenced through availability of credit from different sources. It has been observed that formal credit institutions viz. co-operative credit societies, commercial banks and RRBs play a very important role compared to that by the non-formal banking institutions in the study areas. In case of Paddy, nowhere it is found that the farmers got credit from village money lenders or any such institutions demand exorbitant rate of interest for their lending though such institutions are operating among jute cultivators and outstanding amount to these lenders are negligible. Above facts clearly indicate that the formal banking sector is doing well but not to that extent of eliminating completely the money lenders in these rural sectors.

With reference to the soil testing and recommended doses of fertilizer, it has been observed that in percentage terms the numbers of farmers decreases with an increase in size class of operational holding. Evidently, number of farmers is higher in the lowest stratum among all the size classes and in comparison to other farmers they have enough scope for soil testing. Besides this, there might be another reason, i.e. greater zeal and aspiration among marginal farmers to enhance their production as they have limited access to other inputs of production, despite the fact that, the farmers are constrained by a lack of easy access to soil testing laboratory. The laboratories are situated in the headquarters, which are far away from the villages; and the farmers consider the distance and cost of transportation as serious obstacles for soil testing.

On an average, one sample per plot for all categories of farmers was submitted for soil testing. In case of marginal farmers, the sample size was two or more with an anticipation of getting appropriate result for the sample submitted to the soil test laboratory.

Unfortunately, they were delivered different results corresponding to different samples of the same plot; this has created skepticism among the farmers regarding the very process and validity of the soil-testing programme. Moreover, it is found that, the average number of plots per household considered for soil testing increases with an increase in the size group. Average area covered under soil test (acre) per household for marginal, small, medium and large farmers for Paddy are 0.78, 1.01, 2.07 and 9.69 and for Jute the corresponding values are 0.71, 1.51 and 1.86 respectively. It has also been seen that, the farmers themselves had collected and sent their samples to the laboratories for testing their soil. Method of collection and handling of sample raise a big question about availability of agricultural extension facilities in the study areas. The cost of soil testing is mainly the travelling cost and in many cases, in order to avoid travelling hazards the farmers for both of these two crops prefer private company's laboratory or mobile van for testing their soil. Although the activities of Krishi Prayukti Sahayak (KPS) are not visibly prominent in handling and collection of soil samples from the farmers, interestingly, the farmers got information about the benefits of soil testing mostly from the Government sources (in many a cases, KPS).

While asked the farmers, about the reasons for soil testing most of them categorically mentioned that they expected better yield and wanted to know about the deficiency of the nutrients of their own land. Moreover, a significant portion of the farmers nodded for adoption of the new technologies and its application for better farming in near future.

A very discouraging picture is obtained from the findings of the reasons for not testing soil from the farmers. The control farmers aspired that they were interested to test their soils but non-availability of the extension officers and availability of the laboratories are major constraints for their non -testing. Another dismal picture about finding of the study, a negligible number of soil health card with the recommended doses of fertilizer were collected only from the farmers engaged in Paddy cultivation. On soil test basis the recommended doses of fertilizers found to be are 13.20, 31.19 and 26.72(kg/acre) for Urea, DAP and Potash for Paddy. No information relating to average quantity of split doses of fertilizers recommended by the stage of crop growth for soil test farmers is available for Paddy and Jute farmers.

In case of recommended doses of fertilizers by soil test farmers the scenario is not as good as expected. In fact, as an aggregate only 9.17% of soil tested farmers applied the recommended doses and most of them depend on the oral recommendation of fertilizer

given by Krishi Prayukti Sahayak(Agricultural extension personnel). Moreover it is found that in case of Paddy, as compared to marginal and small farmers the medium and large farmers apply recommended doses of fertilizers while in case of Jute the an opposite picture is seen.. Thus, with reference to both these two Crops a complete opposite picture in terms of the areas covered in case of application of recommended doses of fertilizer is clearly visible. Areas covered under the marginal farmers in Jute are found to be higher than Paddy. Overall; Percentage of applied farmer is higher in Jute and average area for the application of recommended doses of fertilizers is higher in paddy. Area covered as a percentage of the net operated area in comparison to Jute is found to be higher in Paddy.

One constraint analysis for studying the application of recommended doses was done and on that basis again inept performances while dealing with this important matter of the State Agricultural Extension Department can easily be surmised. From this analysis it is found that almost 33.94% of Paddy Growers and almost 43% of Jute cultivators clearly mentioned about the non availability of technical advice on method and timeliness of the application of fertilizers, even the same percentage of farmers in case of paddy complained about the difficulties to understand and follow the recommendations about application of appropriate doses of fertilizers available from the Government Sources. Despite the above facts it should not be pertinent to ponder that the control farmers are not aware as well as its consequences about soil testing. In our study area it is found a little over 83 % of marginal farmers and 69.23% of small farmers among Paddy cultivators are well aware of the effects of soil testing on crop production. The corresponding figure for control farmers in Jute among the small size class is 100% though the total figure bogged down to 85%. During Paddy cultivation it is found that soil test farmers as well as control farmers generally apply greater amount of Urea followed by DAP and MOP. Soil test farmers use grater amount of Urea and complex compared to control farmers. On the contrary, control farmers use greater amount of DAP, MOP and SSP and micronutrients. In case of jute cultivations, soil test farmers as well as control farmers use more Urea than Complex and DAP. Control farmers except MOP use all types of fertilizers as compared to soil test farmers.

Quantity of fertilizers in different stages of cultivation is different across these two categories of farmers. Soil test paddy farmers apply highest amount of Urea at the 'after-inter-cultivation' stage and DAP&SSP at the 'Basal application stage'. Both soil test farmers and control farmers during Jute cultivation use higher amount of DAP, MOP, SSP

and complex at the 'basal application' stage. Next higher dose is applied at 'after-intercultivation' stage and then at 'vegetative growth' stage.

Timely application of fertilizer is one of the key factors for enhancing agricultural production and furthermore the timeliness depends on the availability of fertilizers from different sources. It is in the study found among sources; private fertilizer dealers play a very important role in providing fertilizers to the cultivators. As far as data available for sources of purchase of fertilizers is concerned almost 81% among soil test farmers and 87% of the control farmers purchase fertilizers from the private fertilizers shops/dealers. Despite Government interventions functioning of the District/Primary Agricultural Cooperative societies as regard to important sources of supplying fertilizers among farmers are not at all satisfactory. Cost of fertilizers by the control farmers in comparison to soil test farmers is higher because most of them purchase these important inputs of production from the private traders, and eventually the higher price of fertilizers affects their cost of production. The soil test farmers in comparison to control have greater access to Cooperative societies; and price of fertilizers in these societies is definitely lower than private traders.

Besides application of chemical fertilizers both soil test farmers and control farmers during Paddy and Jute cultivation use a formidable amount of organic manure (Bio-Fertilizers) also. It is in the study found that control farmers during paddy cultivation apply greater amount of organic fertilizers as compared to soil test farmers. The corresponding analyses of application of organic manure for Jute are more or less same.

The soil test farmers for both paddy and Jute have attained training program but as far as number and frequency of training program is concerned the soil test farmers in Paddy have attained more than their Jute counterparts. Training has also imparted to the control farmers for both Paddy and Jute but in case of information regarding average number of per household training they are mostly lacking behind than the soil test farmers.

The above analyses have so far confined in application of recommended doses of fertilizers among soil test and control farmers for Paddy and Jute. However, main objective of this study to assess the impact of adoption of recommended doses of fertilizers on production and productivity and also to have a glimpse on its effect on farm income of the concerned producers. Mentioned earlier, the farmers have applied fertilizers according to their own choices, moreover, lack of extension facilities and conventional

method of farming practices make the situation a little obscure. It has also been mentioned that in spite of the above facts some farmers (very few) have applied recommended doses of fertilizers on soil test basis. It has been observed that productivity of Paddy of soil test farmers in comparison to control farmers is higher across all size classes, though in case productivity of Jute the marginal and small size classes among control farmers have an edge over the soil test farmers. Interestingly, in terms of overall productivity in Jute the control farmers hold in advantageous position than the soil test farmers. Moreover, value of output among these categories of farmers is higher than soil test farmers. The reverse is true for Paddy farmers. A small number of farmers who adopted this technology and applied recommended doses of fertilizers got higher production both in Paddy and Jute. The most noteworthy feature of adoption of recommended doses of fertilizers in paddy is increase in crop yield with 'decrease in application of other inputs like seed, labor, and pesticides'. In case of adoption of this technique in Jute signifies increase in crop yield and 'improvement in Soil Texture'.

1.5 Conclusions

*Soil testing and adoption of recommended doses of fertilizers among farmers engaged in Paddy and Jute cultivation have enhanced the level of both production and productivity to a significant extent, but number of such farmers are found negligible.

- Most of the farmers after testing their sample did not get any report card.
- A negligible numbers of farmers got report card with soil health status only; recommendation of appropriate doses was not mentioned therein.
- Available extension facilities in soil testing and recommended doses of fertilizers services are found to be poor.
- Most of the Soil testing Laboratories are situated in long distances.
- Sample is collected by the farmers themselves. Scientific and technical knowhow about collection of samples among farmers are very poor.
- Farmers keep little reliance on Soil testing and Health status. It is reported that they got different results for different sample for same plots of land and even for different result for same sample.
- Timely availability of fertilizers is a great concern; source of availability is also a problem to them. Most of the farmers purchase fertilizers from the private fertilizer dealers. PACs or other Agricultural Co-operatives played a limited role.

- Private dealers charge higher price for their inputs.
- Functioning of Commercial and Rural Banks are quite satisfactorily, though operation of money lenders is visible in Jute cultivated regions.
- Even after soil testing, during application of NPK farmers rely more on oral recommendation of the KPSs than recommendation made in their report cards.
- Soil test farmers have attained more training than the control farmers.
- Soil test farmers in terms of value and quantity of farm machineries have definite edge over the control farmers. Cropping Intensity in these categories of farmers is found to be higher than the control farmers.
- During Paddy cultivation both of the soil test farmers and control farmers use more HY Varieties.
- Control farmers use more HYV seeds than soil test farmers during Jute cultivation.
- Bore well is the major source of irrigation for all crops.
- Both soil test farmers and control farmers use farm yard manure and bio-fertilizers during Paddy and Jute cultivation.

Whatever miniscule size of number it may be the soil test farmers who adopted recommended doses of fertilizers in Paddy and Jute cultivation got higher production and were capable of diminishing the costs of other factors of production to a significant extent. It implies if appropriate administrative and extension services are provided to the farmers and if and only if the farmers are pursued with technical efficacy of soil testing with the application of appropriate doses of fertilizers , agricultural sector could get rid of initial inertia and could bounce to an enormous scale resulting food self sufficiency and much needed sustainable development.

1.6 Policy Recommendations

- As the Soil testing Laboratories are situated in the long distances and as the Farmers collect sample on their own, the Extension Personnel in the District Agricultural Offices need to be more careful and attentive during implementation of this important programme and make it more a success. (ATTn: Directorate of Agriculture, Government of West Bengal).
- Supply of Soil Health cards without any recommendation of appropriate doses of fertilizers to the Farmers is considered as serious lapses on part of the Government Officials. Owing to the repercussion of the farmers such type of lackadaisical

attitude among the personnel must be checked and a review of providing Health Report Cards to the said farmers draw much needed attention. (ATTn: Directorate of Agriculture, Government of West Bengal).

- Sources and Availability of fertilizers in time is a great concern to the Farmers, Government sources need to provide NPK in time with an adequate amount. . (ATTn: Directorate of Agriculture, Government of West Bengal).
- Continuous mining of nutrients with inadequate doses of replenishment inputs make soil more and more susceptible to infertile, application of recommended doses of fertilizers with an admixture of manure and Bio-nutrient is capable to maintain and regain the soil health. Propagation of Organic Farming among the farmers is essential. (ATTn: Directorate of Agriculture, Government of West Bengal).
- Notwithstanding the existence of Commercial Banking, village money lenders are still operating in some places in rural areas. Panchayat officials should take note of it (ATTn: Ministry of Panchayat and Rural Development. Government of West Bengal).
- One comprehensive and wide program of disseminating the ideas of soil testing and awareness programme for recommendation doses of fertilizer Viz. KrishiMela (Agricultural fare) in every two or three months might be convened in each Agricultural Blocks and arrangement of instant issuing of Soil Health Card are felt essential for successful implementation of such important Government Programme. (ATTn: Directorate of Agriculture, Ministry of Panchayat and Rural Development. Government of West Bengal).
- Kisan Call Centre should be set up in all Panchayat offices to enable the farmers about the recent modern techniques being prescribed by the experts . (ATTn: Directorate of Agriculture, Government of India, Government of West Bengal).